

State Board of Regents

Board of Regents Building, The Gateway 60 South 400 West Salt Lake City, Utah 84101-1284 Phone 801.321.7101 Fax 801.321.7199 TDD 801.321.7130 www.higheredutah.org

January 11, 2017

MEMORANDUM

TO: State Board of Regents

FROM: David L. Buhler

SUBJECT: USHE – Revision of Policy R571, Delegation of Purchasing Authority

Issue

Over the last several years the State Legislature has substantially modified the State's Procurement Code, which has necessitated a review and revision of Regent Policy R571, *Delegation of Purchasing Authority*. The proposed changes will align the Regent policy with statute and continue to provide procurement governance for the System of Higher Education.

Background

The State Procurement Code (Code) allows each of the eight USHE institutions the ability to procure items with "independent procurement authority" without the supervision or control of the State Division of Purchasing. The Code provides for three levels of procurement governance:

- 1. Statutory provisions in the Code
- 2. Rules by "rulemaking authorities"
- 3. Procedures and policies at each institution

The Procurement Code designates the Board of Regents as the "rulemaking authority" for the eight USHE institutions with regard to procurement and requires the Board to make specific rules which have been incorporated into Regent Policy R571, *Delegation of Purchasing Authority* as well as a formal rule in the Utah Administrative Code (R765-571) filed with the State Division of Administrative Rules.

Over the last several years the State Legislature has substantially modified the Procurement Code, which now requires additional rules be written by the Board. The changes recommended in this item include:

- Change of title from "Delegation of Purchasing Authority" to "Procurement"
- Update of references and clarification of definitions and wording to be consistent with Code
- Clarification on resolving tie bids
- Requirement for institutions to establish policies governing best and final offers
- Parameters for the creation and use of approved vendor lists
- Clarification of sole source procurement processes to align with Code
- Enumeration of items or services for which sole source procurements are acceptable

















- Clarification that state funds may not be used in any manner when procuring items without competition as a condition of donation
- Clarification of conditions in which emergency procurements may be made
- Parameters for the use of multi-year contracts

Commissioner's Recommendation

<u>The Commissioner recommends the Regents approve the changes to Policy R571, effective immediately and authorize the Commissioner's Office to file an Administrative Rule adopting these changes into Administrative Code R765-571.</u>

David L. Buhler
Commissioner of Higher Education

DLB/KLH/RPA Attachment



Purpose: As required by the Utah Procurement Code, this policy and corresponding Utah Administrative Rule R765-571 govern the management and control of procurements and procurement procedures conducted by the institutions in the state system of higher education.

R571-2 References

- **2.1** Utah Code 53B-1-102(4)
- 2.2 Utah Code 53B-7-101(12)
- 2.3 Utah Code 63G-6a-101-2407 (Utah Procurement Code)

R571-3 Definitions

- 3.1 The terms used in this policy shall be defined as they are in 63G-6a-103 and 104.
- **3.2** In addition, the following definition shall apply:
 - **3.2.1** "Institution" means an institution of higher education listed in 53B-1-102, except the Utah College of Applied Technology.
- **R571-4 Delegation of Authority:** As established in 63G-61-103(3)(f), the State Board of Regents is the body designated with rulemaking authority over procurement for institutions of Higher Education. The Board delegates to each institution the authority to adopt and administer procurement policies and processes that conform with the Utah Procurement Code and this general policy and Utah Administrative Code R765-571. Each president, or designee, is given authority over procurements at their respective institution.
- **R571-5 Guiding Principles:** Each institution is charged to provide efficient and timely procurement services, that maximize the institution's resources and promotes its instruction, research, extension, and professional service programs. Each institution shall strive to obtain the maximum value for each dollar expended, utilizing open competition and impartial evaluation of alternate products. They should also foster fair, ethical, and legal trade practices, which develop a strong vendor community and promote public trust in the institution and the system of higher education.
- **R571-6** Small Purchases: Each institution shall establish policies, and processes governing small purchases.
 - **6.1** Each institution shall establish the maximum expenditure that may qualify as a small purchase.
 - **6.2** Each institution may establish expenditure thresholds and procurement requirements related to those thresholds in relation to small purchases, including, but not limited to:
 - **6.2.1** Purchasing Cards (P-Card): Purchasing card programs establish a more efficient method of paying for low-dollar transactions. Institutions shall establish procedures that govern card issuance, card-holder training, and auditing of purchasing card transactions.

Page 1 of 5 File: R571

¹ Approved September, 14, 2012, amended May 15, 2015.

- **6.2.2** Requests for Quotation (RFQ): Institutions shall seek competition whenever practicable. RFQs involve soliciting quotes from two or more known vendors. Each institution should establish procedures regarding the acceptance of phone, fax, and email quotes.
- 6.2.3 Small-dollar Purchase Orders
- 6.2.4 Reimbursements
- 6.2.5 Petty Cash
- **R571-7 Solicitations:** When procuring items, each institution shall use a standard procurement process or an exception to the standard procurement process described in R571-8, comply with the Utah Procurement Code and comply with this policy. Each institution shall establish policies, and processes governing solicitations, including:
 - **7.1 Invitation for Bid (IFB):** The Invitation for Bids is used to initiate a competitive sealed bid procurement.
 - 7.1.1 An IFB shall comply with the requirements of 63G-6a-603(2).
 - **7.1.2** A minimum of seven (7) days shall be provided for response.
 - **7.1.3** IFBs must be publically advertised as outlined in the Utah Procurement Code.
 - 7.1.4 Bids shall be submitted using a sealed bid process.
 - 7.1.5 Bids shall be opened publically in accordance with 63G-6a-604.
 - **7.1.6** Institutions shall evaluate bids based on the requirements set forth in the IFB, including objective evaluation criteria. Criteria not included in the IFB may not be used to evaluate bids.
 - 7.1.7 Contracts shall be awarded with reasonable promptness by notice to the lowest responsible and responsive bidder whose bid meets the requirements and criteria set forth in the IFB.7.1.8 IFBs may be performed in multiple steps as established in the 63G-6a-609.
 - **7.1.9** Unless otherwise established by policy, institutions shall resolve tie bids by having the president or designee toss a coin in the presence of a minimum of two witnesses with the firm first in alphabetical order being heads.
 - **7.1.10** Institutions may handle bids as otherwise permitted by the Utah Procurement Code, including, but not limited to, rejecting bids, cancelling the IFB, and using a reverse auction process.
 - **7.2 Request for Proposal (RFP):** An RFP process may be used instead of the IFB process if the procurement officer determines, in writing, that the RFP process will provide the best value to the institution.
 - **7.2.1** An RFP shall comply with 63G-6a-703.
 - 7.2.2 A minimum of seven (7) days shall be provided for response.
 - 7.2.3 RFPs must be publically advertised as outlined in the Utah Procurement Code.

Page 2 of 5 File: R571

- 7.2.4 Proposals shall be processed as outlined in the Procurement Code.
- 7.2.5 The institution shall establish an evaluation committee of at least three (3) individuals.
- **7.2.6** The evaluation committee will rate proposals based on the criteria outlined in the RFP. Criteria not included in the RFP may not be used to evaluate proposals.
- **7.2.7** The RFP process may be conducted in multiple steps, including presentations/discussions and requests for best and final proposals.
- **7.2.8.** Each institution shall establish policies and processes governing best and final offers in accordance with the Utah Procurement Code, this policy and Utah Administrative Code R765-571.
- **7.2.9**. Institutions shall complete a justification statement as required by Utah Procurement Code.
- **7.3 Request for Information (RFI):** The purpose of an RFI is to obtain information, comments, or suggestions from potential bidders or offerors before issuing an IFB or RFP. An RFI is a supplemental procurement process described in Section 63G-6a-409.
- **7.4 Request for Statement of Qualifications (RFSQ):** An institution may use a RFSQ process to prequalify potential bidders or offerors to provide any type of procurement item and limit participation in an IFB or RFP to the prequalified potential bidders or offerors. An institution may also use a RFSQ process to create an approved vendor list. A RFSQ process is a supplemental procurement process described in Section 63G-6a-410.
 - 7.4.1 A RFSQ in multiple-stage procurement process shall comply with 63G-6a-410(4).
 - **7.4.2** A RFSQ in an approved vendor list process shall comply with 63G-6a-410(5).
- **7.5 Approved Vendor List Procurement Process:** Each institution may establish policies and processes governing approved vendor lists and award contracts using methods that comply with the Utah Procurement Code, this policy and Utah Administrative Code R765-561.
 - **7.5.1 Award:** Institutions choosing to use a vendor list may award a contract to a vendor on an approved vendor list at an established price based on a price list, rate schedule, or pricing catalog in accordance with Section 63G-6a-113.
 - **7.5.2 Selection of Vendors:** Institutions choosing to use a vendor list shall select vendors based on a rotation system, the assignment of venders to a specified geographic area, classifying vendors by particular expertise, qualifications or field, or some other method in accordance with a written, public, and fair process.
 - **7.5.3 Removal of Vendors from the Approved Vendor List:** Institutions choosing to use an approved vender list shall include a statement indicating that vendors whose performance does not meet the minimum performance rating threshold may be disqualified and removed from the approved vendor list.
- **R571-8 Exceptions to Procurement Requirements:** Each institution shall establish policies, rules, and processes governing exceptions to procurement requirements that comply with the Procurement Code, this policy and corresponding administrative rule. Institutions may award a contract for a procurement item without using a standard procurement process under the following circumstances and in compliance with 63G-6a-802:

Page 3 of 5 File: R571

- **8.1 Sole Source Procurement:** A standard procurement process is not required where there is only one source for a procurement item. The institution's president or designee shall determine in writing whether a procurement shall be made as a sole source. In cases of reasonable doubt, institutions should use competitive processes.
- **8.2** Transitional Cost: A standard procurement process is not required where transitional costs are a significant consideration in selecting a procurement item and the results of a cost benefit analysis demonstrate that transitional costs are unreasonable or cost-prohibitive and that the awarding of a contract without engaging in a standard procurement process is in the best interest of the institution.
- **8.3** Circumstances in which the Standard Procurement Process is Impractical and Not in Institution's Best Interests: Institutions may establish policies and procedures that designate circumstances under which the standard procurement process is impractical and not in the best interest of the institution. Although the president or designee may add additional criteria, the following are examples of procurements that make standard procurement processes impractical or contrary to the institution's best interests:
 - **8.3.1** public utility services.
 - **8.3.2** a procurement item where the; most important consideration in obtaining the item is the compatibility of equipment, technology, software, accessories, replacement parts, or service;
 - **8.3.3** an item which is a condition of a donation and subject to section 8.4;
 - **8.3.4** instructional materials or other needed items for curriculum purposes based on pedagogical need and academic freedom of instructors:
 - **8.3.5** membership fees, conference registrations, seminars, subscriptions to intellectual content;
 - **8.3.6** conference venues;
 - **8.3.7** used equipment when determined to be more practical or advantageous to the institution;
 - **8.3.8** placement advertising in magazines, journals, newspapers, radio, television, online, buses, billboards, etc.;
 - **8.3.9** library journals, periodicals, and rare books;
 - **8.3.10** athletic game guarantees;
 - **8.3.11** guest lecturers, performers, entertainers, convocations;
 - **8.3.12** broadcasting rights, television programming, and associated fees;
 - **8.3.13** original works of art;
 - 8.3.14 study abroad travel expenses; and
 - **8.3.15** travel, including commercial airfare and hotels.

Page 4 of 5 File: R571

- **8.4 Condition of a Donation:** The institution may award a contract for a procurement item without competition if the president or designee determine in writing that the award to a specific supplier, service provider, or contractor is a condition of a donation or sponsorship that will fund the cost of the supply, service, or construction item. These procurements do not require publication of notice. Neither state funds nor institutional funds may be added to the donation or sponsorship in order to make an award under the provisions of this section.
- **8.5 Trial Use:** The institution may award a contract for a procurement item without competition if the requirements of Section 63G-6a-802.3 are met and the president or designee determine in writing that the procurement item is needed for trial use or testing to determine whether the procurement item will benefit the institution.
- **8.6 Emergency Procurement:** Emergency procurement is appropriate when an emergency condition exists that limits the capability of the institution to obtain competition. An emergency condition is a situation described in 63G-6a-803(1). These procurements shall be made with as much competition as reasonably practical while (1) avoiding a lapse in a critical government service; (2) avoiding harm, or a risk of harm, to the public health, safety, welfare, or property; (3) protecting the legal interests of the institution.
- **8.7 Publication of Notice Not Required**. Publication of notice under Section 63G-6a-802(3) is not required when the award is made under the circumstances described in R571-8.
- **R571-9 Protests:** Aggrieved bidders, offerors, or potential bidders or offerors, may protest the solicitation's specifications or award decision in accordance with the Utah Procurement Code. The aggrieved party may appeal a protest decision in accordance with the Utah Procurement Code. Each institution shall establish policies and processes governing protests related to procurement in accordance with the Utah Procurement Code.
- **R571-10 Ethics**: The institution's employees shall discharge their duties impartially so as to assure fair competitive access to procurements. Employees' conduct should foster public confidence in the integrity of the system of higher education.
- **R571-11 Multi-Year Contracts:** Multi-year contracts, including renewals, may exceed five years if the president or designee determines in writing that (1) a longer period is necessary in order to obtain the item, (2) a longer period is customary for industry standards, or (3) a longer period is in the best interest of the Institution. The written determination must be included in the procurement file.

Page 5 of 5 File: R571



R571, Delegation of Purchasing Authority¹

Preamble

Values and Guiding principles of Public Procurement

Accountability

Taking ownership and being responsible to stakeholders for our actions...essential to preserve the public trust and protect the public interest.

Principles:

- Apply sound business judgment.
- Be knowledgeable of and abide by all applicable laws and regulations.
- Be responsible stewards of public funds.
- Maximize competition to the greatest extent practicable.
- Practice due diligence.
- Use procurement strategies to optimize value to stakeholders.

Ethics

Acting in a manner true to these values...essential to preserve the public's trust.

Principles:

- Act and conduct business with honesty and integrity, avoiding even the appearance of impropriety.
- Maintain consistency in all processes and actions.
- Meet the ethical standards of the profession.

Impartiality

Unbiased decision making and action...essential to ensure fairness for the public good.

Principles:

- Be open, fair, impartial, and non-discriminatory in all processes.
- Treat suppliers equitably, without discrimination, and without imposing unnecessary constraints on the competitive market.
- Use sound professional judgment within established legal frameworks to balance competing interests among stakeholders.

Professionalism

Upholding high standards of job performance and ethical behavior...essential to balance diverse public interests.

Principles:

- Be led by those with education, experience, and professional certification in public procurement.
- Continually contribute value to the organization.
- Develop, support, and promote the highest professional standards in order to serve the public good.

Page 1 of 7 File: R571

¹ Approved September, 14, 2012, amended May 15, 2015.

Service

Obligation to assist stakeholders...essential to support the public good.

Principles:

- Be a crucial resource and strategic partner within the organization and community.
- Develop and maintain relationships with stakeholders.
- Maintain a customer service focus while meeting the needs, and protecting the interests, of the organization and the public.

Transparency

Easily accessible and understandable policies and processes...essential to demonstrate responsible use of public funds.

Principles:

- Exercise discretion in the release of confidential information.
- Maintain current and complete policies, procedures, and records.
- Provide open access to competitive opportunities.

(Preamble excerpts taken from © National Institute of Governmental Purchasing, Inc)

R571-1 Purpose: As required by Subsection 63G-6a-402(2) the Utah Procurement Code, this policy and corresponding Utah Administrative Rule R765-571 are related to govern the management and control of procurements and procurement procedures conducted by the institutions in the state system of higher education.

R571-2 References

- 2.1 Subsection Utah Code 53B-1-102(4)
- 2.2 Subsection Utah Code 53B-7-101(1012)
- 2.3 Subsection Utah Code 63G-6a-104(1)(j)101-2407 (Utah Procurement Code)
- 2.4 Subsection Utah Code 63G 6a 104(7)
- 2.5 Subsection Utah Code 63G-6a-104(14)
- 2.6 Subsection Utah Code 63G-6a-106(1)(a)
- 2.7 Subsection Utah Code 63G 6a 106(4)(a)

R571-3 Definitions

- 3.1 The terms used in this policy shall be defined as they are in Sections 63G-6a-103 and 104.
- **3.2** In addition, the following definition shall apply:
 - **3.2.1** "Institution" means an institution of higher education listed in Section 53B-1-102, except the Utah College of Applied Technology.

R571-4 Delegation of Authority: As <u>outlined</u> <u>established</u> in <u>Title</u> 63G, <u>Chapter</u>_61-103(3)(f), <u>effective May 1</u>, <u>2013</u>, the State Board of Regents is the body designated with rulemaking authority over procurement for institutions

Page 2 of 7 File: R571

of Higher Education. The Board delegates to each institution the authority to adopt and administer procurement policies, rules and processes that are in conformance conform with the Utah Procurement Code and this general policy and corresponding <u>Utah</u> Administrative <u>Code</u> R765-571. Each president, or designee, is given authority over procurements at their respective institution.

- **R571-5 Guiding Principles:** Each institution is charged to provide efficient and timely procurement services, that maximize the institution's resources and promotes its instruction, research, extension, and professional service programs. Each institution shall strive to obtain the maximum value for each dollar expended, utilizing open competition and impartial evaluation of alternate products. They should also foster fair, ethical, and legal trade practices, which develop a strong vendor community and promote public trust in the institution and the system of higher education.
- **R571-6** Small Purchases: Each institution shall establish policies, rules, and processes governing small purchases.
 - **6.1** Each institution shall establish the maximum expenditure that may qualify as a small purchase.
 - **6.2** Each institution may establish expenditure thresholds and procurement requirements related to those thresholds in relation to small purchases, including, but not limited to:
 - **6.2.1** Purchasing Cards (P-Card):
 - **6.2.1.1** The purpose of a Purchasing card programs is to establish a more efficient, costeffective method of purchase and paying for low-dollar transactions. Institutions shall
 establish procedures that govern card issuance, card-holder training, and the auditing of
 purchasing card transactions.
 - **6.2.2** Requests for Quotation (RFQ):
 - **6.2.2.1** Institutions are charged to shall seek competition whenever practicable. RFQs involve soliciting quotes from two or more known vendors. Each institution should establish procedures regarding the acceptance of phone, fax, and email quotes.
 - 6.2.3 Small-dollar Purchase Orders
 - **6.2.4** Reimbursements
 - 6.2.5 Petty Cash
- R571-7 Solicitations: When procuring items, each institution shall formally solicit competition for all procurements over the maximum small dollar expenditure established by the respective institution, unless the procurement falls under use a standard procurement process or an exception to the standard procurement process described in R571-8, Exceptions to the Solicitation Process, as required by law comply with the Utah Procurement Code and comply with this policy. Each institution shall establish policies, rules, and processes governing solicitations, including:
 - **7.1 Invitation for Bid (IFB):** The Invitation for Bids is used to initiate a competitive sealed bid procurement.
 - 7.1.1 An IFB shall include a purchase description, and contractual terms and conditions applicable to the procurement comply with the requirements of 63G-6a-603(2).
 - 7.1.2 A minimum of seven (7) days shall be provided for response.
 - 7.1.3 IFBs must be publically advertised as outlined in the <u>Utah</u> Procurement Code.

Page 3 of 7 File: R571

- 7.1.4 Bids shall be submitted using a sealed bid process.
- 7.1.5 Bids shall be opened publically in accordance with the Procurement Code 63G-6a-604.
- 7.1.6 Bids shall be evaluated Institutions shall evaluate bids based on the requirements set forth in the IFB, which may include including objective evaluation criteria. Criteria not included in the IFB may not be used to evaluate bids.
- 7.1.7 Contracts shall be awarded with reasonable promptness by notice to the lowest responsible and responsive bidder whose bid meets the requirements and criteria set forth in the IFB.
 7.1.8 IFBs may be performed in multiple steps as outlined established in the Procurement Code 63G-6a-609.
- 7.1.9 Unless otherwise established by policy, institutions shall resolve tie bids by having the president or designee toss a coin in the presence of a minimum of two witnesses with the firm first in alphabetical order being heads.
- 7.1.10 Institutions may handle bids as otherwise permitted by the Utah Procurement Code, including, but not limited to, rejecting bids, cancelling the IFB, and using a reverse auction process.
- **7.2 Request for Proposal (RFP):** An RFP process may be used instead of the IFB process if the procurement officer determines, in writing, that the RFP process will provide the best value to the institution.
 - **7.2.1** An RFP shall include a scope of work, contractual terms and conditions applicable to the procurement, and the manner in which proposals are to be submitted comply with 63G-6a-703.
 - **7.2.2** A minimum of seven (7) days shall be provided for response.
 - 7.2.3 RFPs must be publically advertised as outlined in the Utah Procurement Code.
 - 7.2.4 Proposals shall be handled processed as outlined in the Procurement Code
 - 7.2.5 <u>The institution shall establish</u> an evaluation committee of at least three (3) individuals shall be appointed to the evaluation committee
 - **7.2.6** The evaluation committee will rate proposals based on the criteria outlined in the RFP. Criteria not included in the RFP may not be used to evaluate proposals.
 - **7.2.7** The RFP process may be conducted in multiple steps, including presentations/discussions and requests for best and final proposals.
 - <u>7.2.8.</u> Each institution shall establish policies and processes governing best and final offers in accordance with the Utah Procurement Code, this policy and Utah Administrative Code R765-571.
 - **7.2.9**. Institutions shall complete a justification statement as required by Utah Procurement Code.

Page 4 of 7 File: R571

- **7.3 Request for Information (RFI):** The purpose of an RFI is to obtain information, comments, or suggestions from potential bidders or offerors before issuing an IFB or RFP. An RFI is not a <u>supplemental</u> procurement process <u>described in Section 63G-6a-409</u>.
- 7.4 Request for Supplier Statement of Qualifications (RFSQ): An institution may use a RFSQ process to prequalify potential bidders or offerors to provide any type of procurement item and limit participation in an IFB or RFP to the prequalified potential bidders or offerors. An institution may also use a RFSQ process to create an approved vendor list. A RFSQ process is a supplemental procurement process described in Section 63G-6a-410.
 - 7.4.1 A RFSQ in multiple-stage procurement process shall include they type of procurement item to which it relates, the scope of work, the minimum criteria for prequalification, and period of time during which the list will be used comply with 63G-6a-410(4).
 - 7.4.2 A RFSQ in an approved vendor list process shall comply with 63G-6a-410(5).
- 7.5 Approved Vendor List Procurement Process: Each institution may establish policies and processes governing approved vendor lists and award contracts using methods that comply with the Utah Procurement Code, this policy and Utah Administrative Code R765-561.
 - 7.5.1 Award: Institutions choosing to use a vendor list may award a contract to a vendor on an approved vendor list at an established price based on a price list, rate schedule, or pricing catalog in accordance with Section 63G-6a-113.
 - 7.5.2 Selection of Vendors: Institutions choosing to use a vendor list shall select vendors based on a rotation system, the assignment of venders to a specified geographic area, classifying vendors by particular expertise, qualifications or field, or some other method in accordance with a written, public, and fair process.
 - 7.5.3 Removal of Vendors from the Approved Vendor List: Institutions choosing to use an approved vender list shall include a statement indicating that vendors whose performance does not meet the minimum performance rating threshold may be disqualified and removed from the approved vendor list.
- **R571-8 Exceptions to** the Solicitation Process Procurement Requirements: Each institution shall establish policies, rules, and processes governing exceptions to procurement requirements that comply with Part 8 of the Procurement Code, and this policy and corresponding administrative rule. Institutions may award a contract for a procurement item without using a standard procurement process under the following circumstances and in compliance with 63G-6a-802:
 - 8.1 Sole Source Procurement: Sole Source Procurement is not permissible unless a requirement is available from only a single supplier. A requirement for a particular proprietary item does not justify a sole source prourment if there is more than one potential bidder or offeror for that item. A standard procurement process is not required where there is only one source for a procurement item. The institution's president or designee shall determine in writing determination as to whether a procurement shall be made as a sole source shall be made in writing by the procurement officer, the head of the purchasing unit, or designee. In cases of reasonable doubt, competition should be solicited institutions should use competitive processes. Circumstances under which there is only one source for a procurement item may include, among other circumstances:
 - **8.1.1** where the most important consideration in obtaining a procurement is the compatibility of equipment, technology, software, accessories, replacement parts, or service;

Page 5 of 7 File: R571

- **8.1.2** where transitional costs are unreasonable or cost prohibitive; or **8.1.3** procurement of public utility services.
- 8.2 Transitional Cost: A standard procurement process is not required where transitional costs are a significant consideration in selecting a procurement item and the results of a cost benefit analysis demonstrate that transitional costs are unreasonable or cost-prohibitive and that the awarding of a contract without engaging in a standard procurement process is in the best interest of the institution.
- 8.3 Circumstances in which the Standard Procurement Process is Impractical and Not in Institution's Best Interests: Institutions may establish policies and procedures that designate circumstances under which the standard procurement process is impractical and not in the best interest of the institution. Although the president or designee may add additional criteria, the following are examples of procurements that make standard procurement processes impractical or contrary to the institution's best interests:
 - 8.3.1 public utility services.
 - **8.3.2** a procurement item where the; most important consideration in obtaining the item is the compatibility of equipment, technology, software, accessories, replacement parts, or service;
 - **8.3.3** an item which is a condition of a donation and subject to section 8.4;
 - **8.3.4** instructional materials or other needed items for curriculum purposes based on pedagogical need and academic freedom of instructors;
 - **8.3.5** membership fees, conference registrations, seminars, subscriptions to intellectual content;
 - **8.3.6** conference venues:
 - **8.3.7** used equipment when determined to be more practical or advantageous to the institution;
 - **8.3.8** placement advertising in magazines, journals, newspapers, radio, television, online, buses, billboards, etc.;
 - **8.3.9** library journals, periodicals, and rare books;
 - **8.3.10** athletic game guarantees;
 - **8.3.11** guest lecturers, performers, entertainers, convocations;
 - 8.3.12 broadcasting rights, television programming, and associated fees;
 - **8.3.13** original works of art;
 - 8.3.14 study abroad travel expenses; and
 - **8.3.15** travel, including commercial airfare and hotels.
- **8.24** Condition of a Donation: The institution may award a contract for a procurement item without competition if the procurement officer, head of the purchasing unit, president or designee determine in writing that the award to a specific supplier, service provider, or contractor is a condition of a

Page 6 of 7 File: R571

donation <u>or sponsorship</u> that will fund the <u>full</u> cost of the supply, service, or construction item. <u>These</u> <u>procurements do not require publication of notice</u>. <u>Neither state funds nor institutional funds may be</u> added to the donation or sponsorship in order to make an award under the provisions of this section.

- **8.3**5 Trial Use: The institution may award a contract for a procurement item without competition if the procurement officer, head of the purchasing unit, requirements of Section 63G-6a-802.3 are met and the president or designee determine in writing that the procurement item is needed for trial use or testing to determine whether the procurement item will benefit the procurement unit institution.
- **8.46** Emergency Procurement: Emergency procurement is appropriate when an emergency condition exists that limits the capability of the institution to obtain competition. An emergency condition is a situation where there is harm or risk of harm to public health, welfare, safety, or property. This includes harm or risk of harm to the institution's finances or operations. Such a condition may arise as a result of (1) damage to a facility or infrastructure by reason of flood, fire, earthquake, storm or explosion; (2) epidemics; (3) riots; (4) equipment failures; (5) circumstances not in the institution's control where timeliness, litigation deadlines, or other factors necessitate waiver of provisions of the standard procurement process; or (5) such other reason as may be determined by the president of the institution or designee described in Section 63G-6a-803(1). These procurements shall be made with as much competition as reasonably practical while (1) avoiding a lapse in a critical government service; (2) avoiding harm, or a risk of harm, to the public health, safety, welfare, or property: (3) protecting the legal interests of the institution.
- **8.7 Publication of Notice Not Required**. Publication of notice under Section 63G-6a-802(3) is not required when the award is made under the circumstances described in R571-8.

R571-9 Protests: Aggrieved bidders, offerors, or potential bidders or offerors, may protest the solicitation's specifications or award decision in accordance with the <u>Utah</u> Procurement Code. The aggrieved party may appeal a protest decision in accordance with the <u>Utah</u> Procurement Code. Each institution shall establish policies, <u>rules</u>, and processes governing protests related to procurement in accordance with the Utah Procurement Code.

R571-10 Ethics: Individuals employed by institutions of higher education must The institution's employees shall discharge their duties impartially so as to assure fair competitive access to procurements. Employees' should conduct themselves in such a manner as to should foster public confidence in the integrity of the system of higher education.

R571-11 Multi-Year Contracts: Multi-year contracts, including renewals, may exceed five years if the president or designee determines in writing that (1) a longer period is necessary in order to obtain the item, (2) a longer period is customary for industry standards, or (3) a longer period is in the best interest of the Institution. The written determination must be included in the procurement file.

Page 7 of 7 File: R571