Equity Resolution Overview

1. Establish an equity, diversity, and inclusion workgroup
2. Create an equity lens framework for higher education leaders
3. Ensure statewide attainment and performance goals include measures designed to close statewide inequities and are part of the System strategic plan

Geoff Landward, Deputy Commissioner
Academic Education Committee

Completion

- **Goal:** The committee is in the process of developing goals and strategies around this priority
- **Potential Strategy:** Success rates in introductory courses

*Pat Jones, Committee Chair*
Equity Lens Framework: A Call to Action

Example: Improving introductory course success

- Majority of students arrive at college academically underprepared for introductory courses creating barriers to timely completion.
- February: pass rates in key gateway courses, disaggregated by race, ethnicity, gender, SES, etc.
- Engage faculty, student, and community groups to identify barriers to course success and strategies for improving success.

- Engage & Plan
- Examine Data
- Measure Success
- Assess

Implement the planned strategies to improve introductory course success rates.

Measure success by course, disaggregated by race, gender, etc.
Technical Education Committee

Workforce Alignment & Economic Impact

• **Goal:** Increase completion rate of underrepresented populations in programs aligned with high-wage, high-demand jobs by % by 2025

• **Potential Strategy:** The committee is in the process of developing strategies around this priority

*Shawn Newell, Committee Chair*
**Example:** Create a systemwide framework for transcribing prior knowledge, skill, and experience

Technical colleges have a large population of adult learners with prior work experience; lack of systemwide framework for crediting prior skill becomes a barrier to completion.

- **ASSESS:** Track prior knowledge and completion data disaggregated by race, ethnicity, SES, etc., to measure potential impact.
- **EXAMINE DATA:** Work with staff, faculty, and underrepresented students to better understand what skills, knowledge, and experience should be credited to benefit students equitably.
- **MEASURE SUCCESS:** Implement systemwide framework, create campaign that reaches all students, or create opt-out process for all students being evaluated.
- **IMPLEMENT:** Track timely completion data disaggregated by race, ethnicity, and gender to measure impact.

**Equity Lens Framework: A Call to Action**
Student Affairs Committee

Access

• **Goal:** Increase the college-going rate of underrepresented groups by % by 2025
• **Potential Strategy:** The committee is in the process of developing strategies around this priority

*Lisa-Michele Church, Committee Chair*
**Example**: Provide a college access advisor for all high school students

For students participating in statewide multicultural events:
- Increase in college enrollment rates
- Increase in participation in advanced coursework
- Increase in FAFSA completion rates
- Increase in state scholarship application rates

Use advisors to follow up with participants, aligned with Board priorities

---

Utilize advisors to expand existing programming for underrepresented populations

Determine existing initiatives reaching underrepresented populations

Engage with community leaders currently providing student-facing college and career programming
Affordability

• **Definition:** “Cost of attendance should not be a barrier to accessing or completing a certificate or degree. Affordability is the ability of a Utah student to cover the cost of attendance at a USHE institution utilizing a combination of financial aid and other resources.”

• **Goals & Strategies:** The committee is in the process of developing goals and strategies around this priority.
Equity Lens Framework: A Call to Action

Example: Student Fees Policy

Recognize that student fees impact affordability and can be a barrier to accessing higher education.

Data doesn’t currently disaggregate student fees by representation; recognition of need to partner with boards of trustees.

Commitment to ensure student fees are minimal and necessary.

Adjusted student fees policy to limit student fees and require boards of trustees to review fees in support of equity, diversity, and inclusion.

Will review institutional work in the spring of 2021 as the Board sets tuition and student fees.
Recommended Motion

**MOTION**: I move that the Board adopt the Equity Lens Framework to guide decision-making at the Board and System level.
USHE Scholarship Revisions

December 18, 2020
Proposed Revisions to USHE Scholarships

• Regents’ Scholarship
• New Century Scholarship
• Technical Education Scholarships
New Scholarship

• Utah high school graduate
• 3.3 GPA
• Complete one advanced mathematics course (CE, AP, or IB)
• Complete one advanced language arts course (CE, AP, or IB)
• Complete one advanced science credit
• Complete the Free Application for Federal Student Aid (FAFSA)
Proposed Motion

**MOTION:** I move that the Board adopt the Commissioner’s Recommendation found in the *Proposed Revisions to Utah System of Higher Education Scholarships* memo (Tab C) and for staff to continue its efforts in anticipation of the 2021 Legislative Session.
Study Overview

Background

The Utah Board of Higher Education has been tasked with studying and providing recommendations for public safety services on colleges and university campuses through S.B. 80. To begin this task, USHE collaborated with Cicero Group to conduct a baseline assessment and cataloguing of campus law enforcement policies and procedures.

Objectives

1. Document and contextualize the campus security structure for each of the institutions
2. Identify key points of transition and coordination for each campus, including incident response and dispatch procedures
3. Identify the benefits of an institution employing campus law enforcement, and examine best practices / current priorities at other institutions

Methodology

Over the past two months, Cicero met with the campus safety team at each of the 16 higher education institutions. While the team looks different at each institution, common roles include:

- Director of Campus Safety/Security
- Campus Chief of Police
- Director of Emergency Management
- Title IX Coordinator
- VP of Student Services

In each interview, we asked the campus safety team to detail their policies and procedures relating to campus safety. Our four key areas of discussion included:

- Operating and Communication Structures
- Law Enforcement and Security Presence
- Incident Reporting and Response
- Hiring, Onboarding, and Training
Key Learnings | Within this baseline report, there are several key insights that need to be kept at the forefront as stakeholders consider future actions

**RESOURCES**
Campus police at each institution support bolder plans and want to do more, but resources are limited and often overburdened

**CONTINGENT DESIRE FOR SYSTEM-WIDE STANDARDS**
Many institutions would appreciate standardization across the system but caved that those standards need to be supported with funding (e.g. standardized police officer pay, officers per 1000 students).

**FEELING OVERBURDENED AND UNDERSTAFFED**
Most institutions with campus police feel that they are understaffed and overburdened, and have difficulty recruiting officers due to the low pay. Adding more training, reporting requirements, or other requirements will result in less time interacting with and supporting students.

**TRAINING**
Campus police meet training requirements, but completion does not necessarily equate to effectiveness and discretion lends itself to inconsistencies

**ROOM FOR TRAINING COORDINATION / PRIORITIZATION**
While campus police officers are mandated to have 40 hours of training, the type of training provided is up to the discretion of the police chief. The quality and frequency of training can vary greatly.

**D.E.I. A PRIORITY BUT A STRONGER, MORE UNIFIED VISION IS NEEDED**
Most institutions are prioritizing diversity, equity, and inclusion, though each institution is going about it in a different way and campus safety is not always involved.

**STUDENTS**
Improving campus safety will require stakeholders to look beyond campus policing and understand the unique needs of students

**STRONG NETWORKS REQUIRED ACROSS CAMPUS**
In addition to campus policing, campus safety incorporates emergency management, mental and emotional health, victim advocacy, and equity and inclusion, which are all often managed in disparate departments.

**PUTTING STUDENTS FIRST WITH VICTIM ADVOCACY**
Campuses with internal victim advocates are better equipped to meet the unique needs of students – students face a wider array of challenges and more complexity than the general population when incidents occur, lending the need for resources to support victim advocacy.
## Key Learnings | External Perspectives | Students and Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Leaders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topics</th>
<th>Key Findings</th>
<th>Example Quotes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1 Perceptions of Campus Police  | • **Campus police is preferred** to municipal police; negative feelings towards law enforcement are towards police in general, not necessarily the campus police specifically  
• There is always a need for **more personalized relationships** with police officers and increased feelings of trust  
• Desire for more **community integration** from the police (as civilians, instead of as officers) | “Students understanding that they might be responded to by municipal police instead of campus police may effect how likely they are to call in.”  
“Majority of international students like campus police because they help to explain US law and that is helpful”  
“I do have concerns about city police more than campus police. Feel like there is some racial profiling of athletes”  
“[Community events] doesn’t mean being at an event in uniform with guns…it is having officers do things in normal clothes to build relations” |
| 2 Campus Safety Resources       | • Student leaders are relatively aware of resources and relevant departments, but **the average student likely is not aware** of resources on campus, how to contact the police, etc. | “Especially lately with the discussion on race; students don’t know where to go and how to fix it”  
“Most people know that [campus resources] exist, but not the details” |
| 3 Feelings of Safety            | • Certain **student groups** (BIPOC, women, LGBTQ+) may feel less safe on campus  
• Feelings of safety seem to **differ by institution**, with unique challenges being faced on different campuses with different geographical layouts  
• There are **different levels of safety** worth considering based on relationships: student vs. institution, student vs. students, student vs. staff, staff vs. leadership, etc. | “We have gathered a bunch of stories from women or students of color...there are concerns about not being taken seriously or things getting done”  
“I would say that when students need help and need to go to police...but they are entering into a police office and see a blue lives matter flag...it’s difficult to even approach the officer for help” |
| 4 Being Heard                   | • Students struggle to feel heard when **desired actions are not taken** – leadership may “listen” but doesn’t take action  
• Barriers exist to students being heard such as **communication fall off** in middle management, **trust issues**, experiences of **hate or profiling**, etc. | “Sometimes there is a disconnect between being heard and having the action that you want”  
“Sometimes middle level management is the barrier...stuff gets stuck there and not filtered up.” |

*NOTE: The above content is based off two focus groups: one with student leaders and one with Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion leadership – additional research needs to be completed to validate each finding and add additional voices*
1. ELEVATING DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY TO THE CABINET
   Many campus safety departments report through business affairs rather than directly to the president; how deep within the organization the department lives can be indicative of its relative importance.

2. MOVING CLERY ACT OUT OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY
   As long as the Clery Act lives in the department of public safety, it will be treated like a police issue; in reality, the Clery Act requires involvement from a number of different departments.

3. UNIQUE LEADERSHIP REQUIREMENTS FOR HEAD OF PUBLIC SAFETY
   Leaders must be able to navigate complex organizations and build relationships with stakeholders across multiple departments; the traditional skillset of a Chief of Police may not be fit for this role.

4. STANDARDIZING CAMPUS SAFETY ACROSS THE SYSTEM
   Many university systems (e.g., UT System) have system-wide leadership, policies, trainings, reporting procedures, meetings, etc.
Key Learnings | Immediate Next Steps (1 of 2)

1. Improve Collaboration Across USHE Institutions
   - **Outcome:** Elevate the ongoing coordination of public safety activities and resources across all sixteen institutions similar to other system affiliate groups. Utilize this group as a primary voice in addressing campus safety policy issues.
   - **Potential Approach:** Commissioner’s Office can leverage resources and expertise systemwide on behalf of the Board under the leadership of the state’s flagship institution by formalizing a shared contract with its Chief Safety Office similar to the Chief Information Officer who commits a percentage of time to the Board.

2. Conduct System-wide, Comprehensive Evaluation of Student Perceptions
   - **Outcome:** Clear understanding of student perceptions of campus safety at each institution, including awareness of campus security resources, accessibility of resources, and perceived effectiveness of resources (including resources for mental/emotional safety), to ensure student voices are incorporated into any future campus security initiatives.
   - **Potential Approach:** Qualitative and/or quantitative research with students at each institution, emphasizing key student populations such as BIPOC, LGBTQ, DREAMers, and others, and utilizing this report as a foundation and testing what is perceived as happening vs. what is described as happening.
Key Learnings | Immediate Next Steps (2 of 2)

**Develop USHE Unifying Vision and Mission of Campus Security & Safety**

- **Outcome:** A substantive vision for all that should be incorporated into “Campus Safety” that will be used as a foundation to improve consistency across each institution, expand the definition of campus safety and security to more appropriately reflect the broader needs of students, and provide a guiding light for future training priorities, resource allocation, and other strategic initiatives.

- **Potential Approach:** USHE and its Board of Higher Education leverage internal resources and work with institution leadership to draft, revise, and finalize a vision and mission statement, as well as a set of standardized priorities.

**Incorporate Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion in the System-wide Vision**

- **Outcome:** The ability to lead out on key diversity, equity, and inclusion topics that are prevalent across today’s college campuses and be known for strongly prioritizing and allocating resources to issues that deeply matter some of the most vulnerable students at each campus.

- **Potential Approach:** Utilize the committee of diversity, equity, and inclusion experts from each institution to not only listen but take action on the needs of vulnerable student populations, and by clearly incorporating the needs of these students into the Campus Security Unifying Vision and Mission.
Motion for Closed Session

I move we go into closed session for the purposes of discussing the character, professional competence, or physical or mental health of an individual and to discuss pending or reasonably imminent litigation.